RPKI Ecosystem Measurement

IEPG

2023.03.26

Romain Fontugne, Amreesh Phokeer, Cristel Pelsser,
Kevin Vermeulen, & Randy Bush

Romain had to present at PAM, an academic audience

So first he had to describe the RPKI

RPKI Overview









The Experiment(s)

Prefixes

- Each of the Five RIRs loaned us a few IPv4 /24s and IPv6 /48s
- Prefixes were announced from one AS with ROV upstreams and some direct IX peers which were non-ROV
- Another set of RIPE prefixes from 3 ASs fed by non-ROV upstreams
- Measurements taken over eleven months

ROA Beacons

- Used API or GUI at each RIR to Create and Delete ROAs
- Control /24s and /48s have non-varying 'good' ROAs, always Valid
- Test /24 and /48 always have an Invalidating ROA
- But Announced a Validating ROA once per day for half a day

ROA Creation Delay (min)

	Sign*	NotBefore*	Publication†	Relying Party†	BGP‡
AFRINIC	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (2)	14 (13)	15 (16)
APNIC	10 (13)	10 (13)	14 (16)	34 (38)	26 (28)
ARIN	- (-)	- (-)	69 (97)	81 (109)	95 (143)
LACNIC	0 (0)	- (-)	54 (32)	66 (42)	51 (34)
RIPE	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (4)	14 (13)	18 (18)
After fix:					
ARIN	- (-)	- (-)	8 (9)	21 (22)	28 (23)

- ARIN and LacNIC were signing in GMT (HSM)
  - But publishing in Local Time
  - So, NotBefore appeared to be hours before publication
  - We reported, they hacked a work-around
- APNIC always waited for 20 minute batches

ROA Creation Delay

- Creation times vary significantly across RIRs, with medians ranging from a few minutes to over an hour for new ROAs to reach the publication points
- And we know of at least one NIR (not RIR) that only publishes once per day!

Measurement Relying Party

- One instance of RP software
- See Philip Smith's measurements on how RPs vary ☹
- Did not run RPKI-Rtr, because we were more interested in effect on BGP
- Some RPs have not discovered fork() and exec(), so HeadOfLineBlock trying to fetch from bad Publication Points

RIPE/RIS Collectors

- Recorded Control and Test at RIPE/RIS
- If Control missing, that measurement is discarded
- This measures control plane, BGP, effect
- Used two collectors, RRC00 and RRC01. Studies have shown that's enough
- Has all the biases discussed for years

ROA Revoke Delay (min)

	Revocation*	Relying Party†	BGP‡
AFRINIC	0 (0)	13 (14)	34 (38)
APNIC	10 (12)	31 (36)	51 (56)
ARIN	0 (0)	14 (16)	45 (51)
LACNIC	0 (0)	18 (20)	48 (49)
RIPE	0 (0)	14 (13)	41 (50)

Additional APNIC delay possibly due to RP hanging
Plus APNIC has that 20 minute batching delay





Withdraws are Slower

- Because all of the router's / AS's RP caches must have received the Withdraw from the PPs
- ROV only needs one Validating ROA
- So only one cache needs to have a ROA for the router to Validate



(a) ROA creation: NTT (AS2914).



(b) ROA deletion: NTT (AS2914).



(c) ROA creation: Sprint (AS1239).



(d) ROA deletion: Sprint (AS1239).

Sprint's slower curve because RPs pull less frequently than NTT's, &/or sucky RP software
Sprint starts a bit earlier because routers poll RP caches more frequently than NTT's
Confirmed with Sprint and NTT





Data Plane Measurement

- Ran *traceroute* from Atlas Probes
- To the Test prefixes
- Every 15 minutes
- Result pretty much the same as BGP at RIPE/RIS, but
- Path hunting after a Withdraw is graphically obvious

Data Plane & Path Hunting





And ISP Delay Looks Bigger

	Sign*	NotBefore*	Publication†	Relying Party†	BGP‡
AFRINIC	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (2)	14 (13)	15 (16)
APNIC	10 (13)	10 (13)	14 (16)	34 (38)	26 (28)
ARIN	- (-)	- (-)	69 (97)	81 (109)	95 (143)
LACNIC	0 (0)	- (-)	54 (32)	66 (42)	51 (34)
RIPE	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (4)	14 (13)	18 (18)
After fix:					
ARIN	- (-)	- (-)	8 (9)	21 (22)	28 (23)

Let's assume ARIN and LacNIC TimeZone anomalies are fixed

Measurement
Monitoring
Telemetry

Configuration
RPKI/ROV
etc

Management Plane
snmp, gNMI, RPKI, …

Control Plane
Routing: BGP, IS-IS, OSPF, LDP, …

Routing Info
Exchange with
Other
Routers

Data Plane
Payload: IP, MPLS, …

Payload
Forwarding
Ports

When this Goes Wrong How Quickly can you fix it?

Problems

- BGP propagates in minutes. RPKI propagates in O(hour). This has business impacts, e.g.
  - Time to Repair for a bad ROA
  - Time to authorize a DDoS mitigator
- Two RIRs with HSM in GMT and CAs in Local Time Zone. Reported and 'fixed'
- Some RPs have not discovered fork() and exec()
- ROA Anatomy varies between RIRs

Limitations of Study

- Relying Party software:
  - Fixed fetch rate so poor resolution
  - Only one RP software package used
- Did not measure RP to Router. But that is Notify driven so *should be* fast
- Did not measure delegated CAs
- RIR API/Screen-Scrape unreliable

From the Paper in PAM 2023

RPKI Time-of-Flight: Tracking Delays in the Management, Control, and Data Planes

Romain Fontugne[1], Amreesh Phokeer[2], Cristel Pelsser[3], Kevin Vermeulen[4], and Randy Bush[1,5]

[1] IIJ Research Lab romain@iij.ad.jp
[2] Internet Society phokeer@isoc.org
[3] UCLouvain cristel.pelsser@uclouvain.be
[4] LAAS-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France
kevin.vermeulen@laas.fr
[5] Arrcus, Inc randy@psg.com

Abstract. As RPKI is becoming part of ISPs' daily operations and Route Origin Validation is getting widely deployed, one wonders how long it takes for the effect of RPKI changes to appear in the data plane.

https://archive.psg.com/pam2023-rov-ecosystem.pdf

What We Can Do?

- CAs/RIRs Publish **Very** Frequently
- RPs Poll Frequently, RRDP Please

As Protocol Designers

- BGP Transport is
  - Dangerously Shared Fate
  - Unordered, Reordering Guaranteed
- DNS does not handle Make Before Break

A Warning

Bert Hubert in 2018

POWERDNS
Technical Blog

MARCH 22, 2018

"The DNS Camel", or, the rise in DNS complexity

This week was my first IETF visit. Although I've been active in several IETF WGs for nearly twenty years, I had never bothered to show up in person. I now realize this was a very big mistake – I thoroughly enjoyed meeting an extremely high concentration of capable and committed people. While RIPE, various NOG/NOFs and DNS-OARC are great venues as well, nothing is quite the circus of activity that an IETF meeting is. Much recommended!

But 18 Years Earlier

The DNS Today
Are we Overloading the Saddlebags on an Old Horse?

Randy Bush <randy@psg.com>

IETF / San Diego 00.12.13

Thanks To

Arrcus
Cisco
Equinix
Google
Juniper
NTT
Sprint

For Donated

- Rack Space
- Bandwidth
- Routers
- Switches
- Servers
- Etc. Etc.

Questions?

And Position Statements Pretending to be Questions ☺